UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/769,117	01/29/2004	Tarri E. Furlong	OIC0142C1US	7173	
	7590 04/02/200 TEPHENSON LLP	8	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTUI	RY OAKS TERRACE	WEST, THOMAS C			
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/769,11	7	FURLONG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		THOMAS	WEST	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even in the control of the control	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	21 December 2	207					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	eguirement.					
	on Papers		•					
	•	min o u						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exar		abjected to by the I	Evaminor				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	-	-					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	=			ED 4 404(d)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	-		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	;)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:								

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is in reply to Arguments/Remarks filed December 21, 2007.

2. Claims 1-6 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 recite "without any user input subsequent to the activation of the second button", however it is not clear to one of ordinary skill what Applicant intends by this limitation, as Applicant has not limited the "user input". For example, turning on a computer, checking e-mail, opening an application or a file, and accessing different features of an application (e.g. "print", selecting a font) are all examples of user input, which take place, or can take place while performing a data processing action (*In re Zletz*,13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 2, 4, and 6 are also rejected as claim depends from either claim 1, 3 or 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Vance, U.S. Patent No. 6,442,526.

Claims 1, 3, 5:

Vance, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

displaying controls including a first button and a second button (see figures 15A-G, top menu bar)

in response to each activation of the first button: creating a new activity item (see column 4, lines 66-67, column 5, lines 1-7)

establishing a user-selected activity type for the created activity item (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

creating an expense item (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

Art Unit: 3621

consulting a predetermined mapping from activity types to expense types to automatically establish an expense type for the created expense item (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10) creating a new expense report (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

adding all created expense items to the created expense report (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

submitting the created expense report containing the added created expense items (see column 13, lines 26-36)

Claims 2, 4, 6:

Vance, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

establishing for the created activity item a date designated by a user for the activity item (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

without user input specifying a date for the created expense item, establishing for the created expense item the same date designated by a user for the activity item (see column 8, lines 66-67, column 9, lines 1-10)

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas West whose telephone number is 571-270-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 7:30am - 5pm EST, ALT Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas West Patent Examiner Art Unit 3621 March 28, 2008

/Jalatee Worjloh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621